

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa
Tel No. 0832-2437880/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in
website: www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 34/2024/SIC

Shri. Keith Crasto,
R/o. 12, Bachitar Apartments,
Church Road, Marol,
Andheri East, Mumbai,
4000059.

.....Appellant

V/S

1.The Public Information Officer,
Office of Village Panchayat of Calangute,
Calangute-Goa.

2.The First Appellate Authority,
Block Development Officer-II, Bardez,
Mapusa-Goa.

.....Respondents

Shri. Atmaram R. Barve

State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 01/02/2024
Detailed order passed on: 24/07/2026

ORDER

1. The present second appeal arises out of the Right to Information (RTI) application dated 24/08/2023 made by the Appellant herein, Keith Crasto and addressed to the Public Information Officer(PIO), Village Panchayat Calangute, Bardez-Goa.
2. The PIO vide reply dated 27/09/2023, furnished the information to the Appellant w.r.t Sr. No. 1, 2 and 3 but information with respect to Sr. No. 4 and 5 has been not provided by the PIO.

3. Being aggrieved by the reply of the PIO, the Appellant preferred first appeal dated 29/09/2023 before the competent authority
4. The First Appellate Authority (FAA) vide order dated 10/11/2023 directed the PIO to furnish the information to the Appellant within 15 days of passing of the order.
5. Since the PIO failed to comply the directions of the FAA, the Appellant filed second appeal before this Commission vide appeal memo dated 01/02/2024.
6. Notices were issued and matter came up to be heard from 07/10/2024 onwards.
7. The PIO vide reply dated 16/12/2024 contended that, he has made full efforts to search the information but due to the same being unspecific and the system/ arrangements/ maintenance of the records in the record room the said information was not traceable. He also searched the construction register from the year 2008-09, 2010-11, 2012-13 & 2014-15 but could not find the name of the Appellant.
8. Upon perusal of appeal memo, reply this Commission is of, the considered opinion as under:-
 - a. The PIO has duly discharged his duty by replying the RTI application within 30 days prescribed under RTI Act, 2005.
 - b. The FAA has duly discharged his duty by passing speaking order.
 - c. The Appellant has also not countered the reply filed by the PIO, which shows that the Appellant is satisfied with the information provided to him.

9. Therefore, in view of above the present second appeal is disposed off with following order:-

- a. The present second appeal is dismissed.
- b. No order as to cost.
- Parties to be provided authenticated copies of the order.
- Aggrieved party if any, may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

SD/-

(ATMARAM R. BARVE)
State Information Commissioner